Department of Taxes Initial Analysis of Homestead Exemption for Vermont Tax Structure Commission

The Vermont Tax Structure Commission asked the Department of Taxes to 1) analyze what level of homestead exemption could be paid for by the current Property Tax Credit system, and 2) what would be the breakdown of winners and losers by income and property value of such a homestead exemption relative to the current system.

The following analysis from the Dept. of Taxes shows the impact on education property taxpayers of a basic homestead exemption. Funneling the entire \$165.8M of education property tax income sensitivity (the regular property tax credit plus the education part of the circuit breaker) would allow for either a \$65,000 homestead exemption for all homesteads or, alternatively, for a \$60,000 exemption for everyone plus \$10,000 per senior (up to two).

While a property tax with a basic homestead exemption, but no circuit breaker, would be more progressive than a pure property tax – and more progressive than many states – it would be less progressive (with respect to income) than current law. If the goal is to make it more progressive, a circuit breaker could be added. There are at least three broad ways to do so:

- 1) Pay for a circuit breaker by reducing the exemption value Depending on levels and caps, this would lower the tax burden for income-qualifying taxpayers and slightly increase it for everyone else, but high-income taxpayers would still be better off than in current system.
- 2) Pay for a circuit breaker by phasing out the exemption at a certain income level This would lower the tax burden for income-qualifying taxpayers and increase it for everyone above the phase-out level, with non-qualifying high-income taxpayers having the same tax burden as those in the current system who don't qualify for Property Tax Credits.
- 3) Pay for a circuit breaker with an income tax increase This would lower the tax burden for income-qualifying taxpayers and increase it for taxpayers with income levels that are subjected to the income tax. It would also serve to shift the overall tax structure away from property taxes and more heavily toward income taxes.

Future analyses could explore any of these options. At a high level, it can be expected that either the second or third approach could be used to essentially neutralize any differences in progressivity with respect to income. The most significant difference would be that people with modest homestead values would tend to fare better with a homestead exemption, while owners of high-value homesteads would tend to fare better in our current system. The extent of such differences would depend on the details of any proposal.

Average Change to FY20 Net Education Property Tax Due With \$65,000 Homestead Exemption

Household Income Bracket	Homestead Property Value Bracket											
	0 to \$100,000	\$100,000 to \$200,000	\$200,000 to \$300,000	\$400,000 to \$500,000	\$500,000 and over							
0 to \$50,000	\$ (283)) \$ 491	\$ 1,645	\$ 2,727	\$ 3,332	\$ 3,319						
count	10,663	25,536	10,974	2,724	752	485						
\$50,000 to \$100,000	\$ (831)) \$ (429)	\$ 565	\$ 1,493	\$ 2,142	\$ 2,366						
count	3,952	26,234	20,940	6,156	1,671	934						
\$100,000 to \$150,000	\$ (912)) \$ (943)	(626)	\$ (603)	\$ (620)	\$ (672						
count	428	6,005	10,096	4,749	1,448	883						
\$150,000 and over	\$ (905)) \$ (991)	\$ (1,006)	\$ (1,013)	\$ (1,019)	\$ (1,025						
count	29	393	1,003	837	416	403						
Not Reported	\$ (724)) \$ (985)	\$ (1,015)	\$ (1,024)	\$ (1,027)	\$ (1,032						
count	1,840	6,557	8,524	6,794	3,841	4,366						
"count" rows are the number of	 f households that fall v	ivithin the particular inc	ome and homestead pro	pperty value bracket co	mbination							
green indicates that the househ	olds are likely to be w	inners based on the av	erage change above an	d red indicates likely los	sers							
households with income not rep	ported did not complet	e the household income	e form, usually because	their income is above t	the limit							
	1 11.											

households with income not reported did not complete the household income form, usually because their income is above the limit grouping is based on 2018 household income and 2019 homestead grand list value current law liability is based on FY20 gross homestead education property taxes reduced by credit applied in FY20 (based on FY19 taxes and TY18 income)

Note: When JFO and Tax look at a household's education property tax liability, the analyses usually apply the credit the household receives in the following year against the tax liability of the year being analyzed. This is because of the "lag" in the system. The credit a household gets in one year is actually based on the taxes paid in the prior property tax year (and their income from the prior calendar year). In other words, that credit sets their prior year liability to what it "should" be based on income sensitivity and the law. This analysis deviates from that practice for three reasons:

- Taxpayers respond to their net taxes due in any given year.
- If the state transitioned to an exemption, the relevant question would be: how do taxpayers' new liabilities compare to current law?
- The adjustment file for FY21 (which is what we would normally apply to FY20) is currently incomplete.

Even if FY21 credits were to be used, the result would be nearly identical to the above.

Average Change to FY20 Net Education Property Tax Due With \$60,000 Base Homestead Exemption Plus \$10,000 Per Senior (Up to Two)

Household Income Bracket	Homestead Property Value Bracket										
	0 to \$100,000	\$100,000 to \$200,000	\$200,000 to \$300,000	\$300,000 to \$400,000	\$400,000 to \$500,000	\$500,000 and over					
0 to \$50,000	\$ (280)	\$ 481	\$ 1,624	\$ 2,703	\$ 3,305	\$ 3,286					
count	10,663	25,536	10,974	2,724	752	485					
\$50,000 to \$100,000	\$ (818)	\$ (427)	\$ 552	\$ 1,460	\$ 2,096	\$ 2,301					
count	3,952	26,234	20,940	6,156	1,671	934					
\$100,000 to \$150,000	\$ (888)	\$ (928)	\$ (617)	\$ (609)	\$ (646)	\$ (730)					
count	428	6,005	10,096	4,749	1,448	883					
\$150,000 and over	\$ (897)	\$ (984)	\$ (999)	\$ (1,014)	\$ (1,023)	\$ (1,054)					
count	29	393	1,003	837	416	403					
Not Reported	\$ (705)	\$ (956)	\$ (999)	\$ (1,017)	\$ (1,026)	\$ (1,054)					
count	1,840	6,557	8,524	6,794	3,841	4,366					

[&]quot;count" rows are the number of households that fall within the particular income and homestead property value bracket combination green indicates that the households are likely to be winners based on the average change above and red indicates likely losers households with income not reported did not complete the household income form, usually because their income is above the limit grouping is based on 2018 household income and 2019 homestead grand list value

current law liability is based on FY20 gross homestead education property taxes reduced by credit applied in FY20 (based on FY19 taxes and TY18 income)

	Homestead Property Value Bracket													
Household Income Bracket	0 to \$100,0	00	\$100,	,000 to \$200,000	\$200	,000 to \$300,000	\$30	0,000 to \$400,000	\$400	,000 to \$500,000	\$500	,000 and over	Tota	ls
0 to \$50,000	\$	4,739,556	\$	20,111,816	\$	11,727,878	\$	4,189,742	\$	1,892,677	\$	3,207,757	\$	45,869,4
	\$	1,724,135	\$	32,640,751	\$	29,778,834	\$	11,617,054	\$	4,398,212	\$	4,817,453	\$	84,976,4
	\$	1,755,106	\$	32,403,244	\$	29,555,099	\$	11,552,028	\$	4,378,079	\$	4,801,503	\$	84,445,0
count		10,663		25,536		10,974		2,724		752		485		51,1
50,000 to \$100,000	\$	4,098,073	\$	47,689,297	\$	45,908,990	\$	17,053,599	\$	6,220,524	\$	6,156,248	\$	127,126,7
	\$	815,761	\$	36,429,500	\$	57,735,338	\$	26,244,656	\$	9,800,531	\$	8,366,285	\$	139,392,0
	\$	864,878	\$	36,475,385	\$	57,477,109	\$	26,042,099	\$	9,723,116	\$	8,305,055	\$	138,887,64
count		3,952		26,234		20,940		6,156		1,671		934		59,8
\$100,000 to \$150,000	\$	502,298	\$	14,565,605	\$	35,129,280	\$	23,368,143	\$	9,404,308	\$	8,660,272	\$	91,629,90
	\$	112,115	\$	8,905,556	\$	28,812,596	\$	20,504,707	\$	8,506,179	\$	8,067,046	\$	74,908,19
	\$	122,026	\$	8,992,802	\$	28,899,684	\$	20,474,631	\$	8,468,828	\$	8,016,010	\$	74,973,98
count		428		6,005		10,096		4,749		1,448		883		23,60
\$150,000 and over	\$	32,918	\$	978,999	\$	3,907,915	\$	4,525,845	\$	2,888,376	\$	4,371,304	\$	16,705,3
	\$	6,675	\$	589,518	\$	2,898,789	\$	3,678,134	\$	2,464,587	\$	3,958,264	\$	13,595,96
	\$	6,913	\$	592,221	\$	2,905,672	\$	3,677,534	\$	2,462,964	\$	3,946,550	\$	13,591,85
count		29		393		1,003		837		416		403		3,08
Not Reported	\$	1,616,754	\$	15,473,818	\$	33,381,080	\$	37,148,560	\$	27,021,738	\$	50,617,388	\$	165,259,33
	\$	284,174	\$	9,014,117	\$	24,731,252	\$	30,189,804	\$	23,075,336	\$	46,111,698	\$	133,406,38
	\$	320,391	\$	9,202,799	\$	24,865,864	\$	30,236,919	\$	23,079,128	\$	46,016,509	\$	133,721,61
count		1,840		6,557		8,524		6,794		3,841		4,366		31,92
Totals	\$ 1	0,989,599	\$	98,819,535	\$	130,055,144	\$	86,285,890	\$	47,427,623	\$	73,012,969	\$	446,590,76
	\$	2,942,860	\$	87,579,441	\$	143,956,809	\$	92,234,355	\$	48,244,845	\$	71,320,745	\$	446,279,05
	\$	3,069,315	\$	87,666,451	\$	143,703,427	\$	91,983,211	\$	48,112,115	\$	71,085,627	\$	445,620,14
		16,912		64,725		51,537		21,260		8,128		7,071		169,63
Current Law														
\$65K Flat Exemption														
\$60K Exemption + \$10K per Se	nior													
count" rows are the number of														