Department of Taxes Initial Analysis of Homestead Exemption for Vermont Tax Structure Commission

The Vermont Tax Structure Commission asked the Department of Taxes to 1) analyze what level of homestead exemption could be paid for by the current Property Tax Credit system, and 2) what would be the breakdown of winners and losers by income and property value of such a homestead exemption relative to the current system.

The following analysis from the Dept. of Taxes shows the impact on education property taxpayers of a basic homestead exemption. Funneling the entire \$165.8M of education property tax income sensitivity (the regular property tax credit plus the education part of the circuit breaker) would allow for either a \$65,000 homestead exemption for all homesteads or, alternatively, for a \$60,000 exemption for everyone plus \$10,000 per senior (up to two).

While a property tax with a basic homestead exemption, but no circuit breaker, would be more progressive than a pure property tax – and more progressive than many states – it would be less progressive (with respect to income) than current law. If the goal is to make it more progressive, a circuit breaker could be added. There are at least three broad ways to do so:

- 1) Pay for a circuit breaker by reducing the exemption value Depending on levels and caps, this would lower the tax burden for income-qualifying taxpayers and slightly increase it for everyone else, but high-income taxpayers would still be better off than in current system.
- 2) Pay for a circuit breaker by phasing out the exemption at a certain income level This would lower the tax burden for income-qualifying taxpayers and increase it for everyone above the phase-out level, with non-qualifying high-income taxpayers having the same tax burden as those in the current system who don't qualify for Property Tax Credits.
- 3) Pay for a circuit breaker with an income tax increase This would lower the tax burden for income-qualifying taxpayers and increase it for taxpayers with income levels that are subjected to the income tax. It would also serve to shift the overall tax structure away from property taxes and more heavily toward income taxes.

Future analyses could explore any of these options. At a high level, it can be expected that either the second or third approach could be used to essentially neutralize any differences in progressivity with respect to income. The most significant difference would be that people with modest homestead values would tend to fare better with a homestead exemption, while owners of high-value homesteads would tend to fare better in our current system. The extent of such differences would depend on the details of any proposal.

Updated August 29, 2020

Average Change to FY20 Net Education Property Tax Due With \$65,000 Homestead Exemption

			Homestead Prope	rty Value Bracket											
Household Income Bracket	0 to \$100,000	\$100,000 to \$200,000	\$200,000 to \$300,000	\$300,000 to \$400,000	\$400,000 to \$500,000	\$500,000 and over									
0 to \$50,000	\$ (283)	\$ 491	\$ 1,645	\$ 2,727	\$ 3,332	\$ 3,319									
count	10,663	25,536	10,974	2,724	752	485									
\$50,000 to \$100,000	\$ (831)	\$ (429)	\$ 565	\$ 1,493	\$ 2,142	\$ 2,366									
count	3,952	26,234	20,940	6,156	1,671	934									
\$100,000 to \$150,000	\$ (912)	\$ (943)	\$ (626)	\$ (603)	\$ (620)	\$ (672									
count	428	6,005	10,096	4,749	1,448	883									
\$150,000 and over	\$ (905)	\$ (991)	\$ (1,006)	\$ (1,013)	\$ (1,019)	\$ (1,025									
count	29	393	1,003	837	416	403									
Not Reported	\$ (724)	\$ (985)	\$ (1,015)	\$ (1,024)	\$ (1,027)	\$ (1,032									
count	1,840	6,557	8,524	6,794	3,841	4,366									
"count" rows are the number of	f households that fall w	ithin the particular inco	me and homestead pro	perty value bracket co	mbination										
green indicates that the househ	olds are likely to be wi	nners based on the ave	erage change above and	d red indicates likely los	sers										

grouping is based on 2018 household income and 2019 homestead grand list value

current law liability is based on FY20 gross homestead education property taxes reduced by credit applied in FY20 (based on FY19 taxes and TY18 income)

Note: When JFO and Tax look at a household's education property tax liability, the analyses usually apply the credit the household receives in the following year against the tax liability of the year being analyzed. This is because of the "lag" in the system. The credit a household gets in one year is actually based on the taxes paid in the prior property tax year (and their income from the prior calendar year). In other words, that credit sets their prior year liability to what it "should" be based on income sensitivity and the law. This analysis deviates from that practice for three reasons:

- Taxpayers respond to their net taxes due in any given year.
- If the state transitioned to an exemption, the relevant question would be: how do taxpayers' new liabilities compare to current law?
- The adjustment file for FY21 (which is what we would normally apply to FY20) is currently incomplete.

Even if FY21 credits were to be used, the result would be nearly identical to the above.

Average Change to FY20 Net Education Property Tax Due With \$60,000 Base Homestead Exemption Plus \$10,000 Per Senior (Up to Two)

			Homestead Prope	erty Value Bracket	alue Bracket									
Household Income Bracket	0 to \$100,000	\$100,000 to \$200,000	\$200,000 to \$300,000	\$300,000 to \$400,000	\$400,000 to \$500,000	\$500,000 and over								
0 to \$50,000	\$ (280)	\$ 481	\$ 1,624	\$ 2,703	\$ 3,305	\$ 3,286								
count	10,663	25,536	10,974	2,724	752	485								
\$50,000 to \$100,000	\$ (818)	\$ (427)	\$ 552	\$ 1,460	\$ 2,096	\$ 2,301								
count	3,952	26,234	20,940	6,156	1,671	934								
\$100,000 to \$150,000	\$ (888)	\$ (928)	\$ (617)	\$ (609)	\$ (646)	\$ (730)								
count	428	6,005	10,096	4,749	1,448	883								
\$150,000 and over	\$ (897)	\$ (984)	\$ (999)	\$ (1,014)	\$ (1,023)	\$ (1,054)								
count	29	393	1,003	837	416	403								
Not Reported	\$ (705)	\$ (956)	\$ (999)	\$ (1,017)	\$ (1,026)	\$ (1,054)								
count	1,840	6,557	8,524	6,794	3,841	4,366								

[&]quot;count" rows are the number of households that fall within the particular income and homestead property value bracket combination green indicates that the households are likely to be winners based on the average change above and red indicates likely losers households with income not reported did not complete the household income form, usually because their income is above the limit grouping is based on 2018 household income and 2019 homestead grand list value

current law liability is based on FY20 gross homestead education property taxes reduced by credit applied in FY20 (based on FY19 taxes and TY18 income)

Updated August 29, 2020

3

					Н	omestead Prope	erty	Value Bracket						
ousehold Income Bracket	0 to \$10	00,000	\$10	0,000 to \$200,000				0,000 to \$400,000	\$400	0,000 to \$500,000	\$500	,000 and over	Tota	ls
to \$50,000	\$	4,739,556			\$	11,727,878		4,189,742		1,892,677		3,207,757	\$	45,869,42
	\$	1,724,135	\$	32,640,751	\$	29,778,834	\$	11,617,054	\$	4,398,212	\$	4,817,453	\$	84,976,4
	\$	1,755,106	\$	32,403,244	\$	29,555,099	\$	11,552,028	\$	4,378,079	\$	4,801,503	\$	84,445,0
count		10,663		25,536		10,974		2,724		752		485		51,1
50,000 to \$100,000	\$	4,098,073	\$	47,689,297	\$	45,908,990	\$	17,053,599	\$	6,220,524	\$	6,156,248	\$	127,126,7
	\$	815,761	\$	36,429,500	\$	57,735,338	\$	26,244,656	\$	9,800,531	\$	8,366,285	\$	139,392,0
	\$	864,878	\$	36,475,385	\$	57,477,109	\$	26,042,099	\$	9,723,116	\$	8,305,055	\$	138,887,6
count		3,952		26,234		20,940	Т	6,156		1,671		934		59,8
100,000 to \$150,000	\$	502,298	\$	14,565,605	\$	35,129,280	\$	23,368,143	\$	9,404,308	\$	8,660,272	\$	91,629,9
	\$	112,115	\$	8,905,556	\$	28,812,596	\$	20,504,707	\$	8,506,179	\$	8,067,046	\$	74,908,1
	\$	122,026	_	8,992,802	\$	28,899,684	\$	20,474,631	\$	8,468,828	\$	8,016,010	\$	74,973,9
count	-	428		6,005	•	10,096		4,749		1,448		883		23,6
150,000 and over	\$	32,918	\$		\$	3,907,915	\$	4,525,845	\$	2,888,376	\$	4,371,304	\$	16,705,3
,	\$	6,675	\$	589,518	\$	2,898,789	\$	3,678,134	\$	2,464,587	\$	3,958,264	\$	13,595,9
	\$	6,913	_	592,221		2,905,672	\$	3,677,534		2,462,964	\$	3,946,550	\$	13,591,8
count	-	29		393	4	1,003		837		416		403		3,0
ot Reported	\$	1,616,754	\$	15,473,818	\$		\$	37,148,560	\$	27,021,738	\$	50,617,388	\$	165,259,3
	\$	284,174		9,014,117	\$	24,731,252		30,189,804	\$	23,075,336	\$	46,111,698	\$	133,406,3
	\$	320,391		9,202,799	\$	24,865,864	_	30,236,919	\$	23,079,128	\$		\$	133,721,6
count	-	1,840		6,557	4	8,524		6,794	_	3,841	-	4,366	1	31,9
otals	\$	10,989,599	\$	98,819,535	\$	130,055,144	\$	86,285,890	\$	47,427,623	\$	73.012.969	\$	446,590,7
	\$	2,942,860		87,579,441		143,956,809	\$	92,234,355		48,244,845	\$	71,320,745	\$	446,279,0
	\$	3,069,315	_	87,666,451	_	143,703,427	_	91,983,211	_	48,112,115		71,085,627		445,620,1
	7	16,912	7	64,725	7	51,537		21,260	_	8,128	7	7,071	_	169,6
Current Law		,		2.,720		,557				2,220		.,0,2		23370
665K Flat Exemption														
60K Exemption + \$10K per Se	enior													
								ty value bracket cor	L to					

Updated August 29, 2020 4

Follow-up Analysis:

Breakout of Winners and Losers by Income/Homestead Value Bracket and Number of Seniors in Household

The following three tables are subsets of the table on Page 3 which 1) show the number of winners and losers in each cell and 2) breaks out the households by the number of seniors in each household (0,1,2+).

		Per Se	enior	(Up to Two	o): Ho	useholds v	with	No Seniors						
	Homestead Property Value Bracket													
Household Income Bracket	0	to \$100,000	\$100,00	0 to \$200,000	\$200,000 to \$300,000 \$			000 to \$400,000	\$400,0	00 to \$500,000	\$500	,000 and over		
0 to \$50,000	\$	(255)	\$	521	\$	1,692	\$	2,822	\$	3,388	\$	3,486		
winner count		5,260		2,489		182		48		15		19		
loser count		879		11,192		5,183		1,250		330		179		
\$50,000 to \$100,000	\$	(780)	\$	(376)	\$	593	\$	1,493	\$	2,156	\$	2,375		
winner count		2,763		13,706		2,190		248		62		23		
loser count	*			3,718		10,625		3,007		726		313		
\$100,000 to \$150,000	\$	(842)	\$	(864)	\$	(547)	\$	(529)	\$	(557)	\$	(623		
winner count		330		4,380		7,146		3,094		830		382		
loser count						28		21	*		*			
\$150,000 and over	\$	(776)	\$	(922)	\$	(929)	\$	(933)	\$	(937)	\$	(953		
winner count		19		264		699		557		280		222		
loser count														
Not Reported	\$	(691)	\$	(912)	\$	(933)	\$	(942)	\$	(947)	\$	(951		
winner count		1,412		5,085		6,275		4,817		2,635		2,629		
loser count	*		*		*									
* indicates fewer than 10 house	eholds	and data is supp	ressed f	or confidential	ity									
"count" rows are the number of						homestead pro	perty	value bracket co	mbinatio	on				
median household for the incom	ne brad	cket will fall in bo	old box			·								
households with income not rep	orted	did not complete	the hou	sehold income	form, us	sually because	their i	ncome is above t	he limit					
grouping is based on 2018 hous	ehold	income and 2019	9 homes	tead grand list	value									

Updated August 29, 2020 5

Average Change to FY20 Net Education Property Tax Due With \$60,000 Base Homestead Exemption Plus \$10,000 Per Senior (Up to Two): Households with One Senior

			Homestead Property Value Bracket											
Household Income Bracket	0 to \$100	,000	\$100,00	0 to \$200,000	\$200,000	to \$300,000	\$300,0	000 to \$400,000	\$400,000	0 to \$500,000	\$500,0	00 and over		
0 to \$50,000	\$	(275)	\$	511	\$	1,655	\$	2,716	\$	3,449	\$	3,660		
winner count		3,404		1,519		99		22	*		*			
loser count		387		7,709		4,111		1,017		290		170		
\$50,000 to \$100,000	\$	(874)	\$	(453)	\$	607	\$	1,572	\$	2,313	\$	2,403		
winner count		668		3,839		907		206		56		42		
loser count				844		3,161		1,175		352		254		
\$100,000 to \$150,000	\$	(1,023)	\$	(1,025)	\$	(704)	\$	(677)	\$	(681)	\$	(741		
winner count		58		784		1,350		699		266		224		
loser count					*		*							
\$150,000 and over	\$	(1,092)	\$	(1,051)	\$	(1,089)	\$	(1,109)	\$	(1,110)	\$	(1,090		
winner count	*			72		146		136		54		82		
loser count														
Not Reported	\$	(759)	\$	(1,058)	\$	(1,102)	\$	(1,118)	\$	(1,116)	\$	(1,109		
winner count		266		810		1,057		838		495		674		
loser count			*		*									
* indicates fewer than 10 house	eholds an	d data is supp	ressed f	or confidential	ity									

median household for the income bracket will fall in bold box

households with income not reported did not complete the household income form, usually because their income is above the limit grouping is based on 2018 household income and 2019 homestead grand list value

current law liability is based on FY20 gross homestead education property taxes reduced by credit applied in FY20 (based on FY19 taxes and TY18 income)

Updated August 29, 2020 6

Average Change to FY20 Net Education Property Tax Due With \$60,000 Base Homestead Exemption Plus \$10,000 Per Senior (Up to Two): Households with Two Seniors

			_			
				erty Value Bracket		
Household Income Bracket			\$200,000 to \$300,000	\$300,000 to \$400,000	\$400,000 to \$500,000	\$500,000 and over
0 to \$50,000	\$ (519)	\$ 180	\$ 1,326	\$ 2,383	\$ 2,832	\$ 3,342
winner count		974	28	10	*	*
loser count	*	1,584	1,311	358	96	72
\$50,000 to \$100,000	\$ (957)	\$ (618)	\$ 378	\$ 1,320	\$ 1,906	\$ 2,363
winner count	501	3,666	1,292	331	106	50
loser count	*	410	2,717	1,158	347	223
\$100,000 to \$150,000	\$ (1,086)	\$ (1,177)	\$ (864)	\$ (828)	\$ (832)	\$ (872)
winner count	38	825	1,555	924	345	266
loser count						
\$150,000 and over	\$ (1,162)	\$ (1,196)	\$ (1,232)	\$ (1,238)	\$ (1,260)	\$ (1,254)
winner count	*	55	154	143	81	98
loser count						
Not Reported	\$ (750)	\$ (1,195)	\$ (1,264)	\$ (1,267)	\$ (1,266)	\$ (1,276)
winner count	151	609	1,149	1,114	697	1,041
loser count		*				
* indicates fewer than 10 hous	 eholds and data is sup	pressed for confidential	ity			
"count" rows are the number of	f households that fall v	vithin the particular inco	me and homestead pro	operty value bracket co	mbination	
median household for the incor	ne bracket will fall in b	old box				
households with income not rep	ported did not complete	e the household income	form, usually because	their income is above t	the limit	
grouping is based on 2018 hour	sehold income and 201	9 homestead grand list	value			
current law liability is based on	FY20 gross homestead	d education property ta	xes reduced by credit a	pplied in FY20 (based o	on FY19 taxes and TY18	income)

Notes relevant to last three tables:

- 1) There seems to be two main reasons for variance within cells. The first reason is generally because of the broad range of incomes and property values within each bucket (and the fact that the first row crosses the current law \$47K cliff and the second row crosses the current law \$90K cliff) and also the fairly broad range of tax rates across Vermont towns. The second reason is that there are currently households whose property tax credit claim is denied for one reason or another. This latter reason explains the cells where there are winners where it would seem to be impossible.
- 2) The total number of households shown in the last three tables do not sum to the total on page 3 as ~630 households were excluded because they have multiple households on the same SPAN (one reason would be co-housing) and would require a more complex analysis.

Updated August 29, 2020